# EXTENSIONS OF THE HADAMARD DETERMINANT THEOREM

#### BY RUSSELL MERRIS

#### ABSTRACT

Denote by  $H_n$  the set of *n* by *n*, positive definite hermitian matrices. Hadamard proved that  $h(A) \ge \det(A)$  for all  $A \in H_n$ , where h(A) is the product of the main diagonal elements of *A*. Subsequently, M. Marcus showed that per  $(A) \ge$ h(A) for all  $A \in H_n$ . This article contains a result for all generalized matrix functions from which it follows that  $h(A) \ge (per (A^{1/n}))^n$ ,  $A \in H_n$ .

Denote by  $H_n$  the convex cone of *n* by *n* positive definite hermitian matrices. Let *G* be a permutation group of degree *n*. Suppose  $\chi$  is an irreducible, complex character of *G*. If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is an *n* by *n* matrix, define

$$d(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

For example, if  $G = S_n$  and  $\chi = \varepsilon$ ,  $d(A) = \det(A)$ . If  $G = S_n$  and  $\chi = 1$ ,  $d(A) = \operatorname{per}(A)$ , the permanent of A. At the other end of the line, when  $G = \{e\}$ , d(A) is Hadamard's function,

$$h(A)=\prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}.$$

The Hadamard determinant theorem asserts that  $h(A) \ge \det(A)$ , for all  $A \in H_n$ . In 1918, I. Schur obtained the following vast improvement:  $d(A)/\chi(e) \ge \det(A)$ , for all  $A \in H_n$ . In 1963, M. Marcus proved a "Hadamard theorem for permanents", namely,  $h(A) \le \operatorname{per}(A)$ , for all  $A \in H_n$ . In this note we provide another extension of Hadamard's theorem.

THEOREM. Let G be a subgroup of  $S_n$ . Suppose  $\chi$  is an irreducible, complex character of G. Then

Received March 3, 1983

R. MERRIS

(1) 
$$h(A) \ge [d(A^{1/n})/\chi(e)]'$$

for all  $A \in H_n$ .

Before proving this result, it may be appropriate to make some observations. If  $G = S_n$  and  $\chi = \varepsilon$ , then  $d(A^{1/n})/\chi(e) = \det(A^{1/n}) = (\det A)^{1/n}$ . Thus, (1) reduces to Hadamard's Theorem. If  $G = S_n$ ,  $\chi = 1$ , the result becomes

(2) 
$$h(A) \ge (\operatorname{per} (A^{1/n}))^n.$$

In [4], it was shown that

$$f(t) = (per(A^{t}))^{1/t}$$

is a strictly increasing function of t for all  $A \in H_n$ . In [9], P. J. Nikolai extended this result to any d-function for which  $\chi(e) = 1$ . In fact (see [6, lemma 2]), Nikolai's methods apply to arbitrary irreducible characters. They yield, with an appropriate definition at t = 0, that

(3) 
$$[d(A')/\chi(e)]^{1/t}$$

is a nondecreasing function of the real variable t, for all  $A \in H_n$ . It follows that h(A) dominates (3) for any  $t \neq 0$  less than 1/n.

I briefly entertained the notion that h(A) might dominate (3) for any  $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$   $(t \neq 0)$ , regardless of the value of *n*. That this is false can be seen from the following example. Note that  $h(A) \geq (\operatorname{per} (A^{1/2}))^2$  for all  $A \in H_n$  if and only if

$$h(A^2) \ge (\operatorname{per} A)^2$$

for all  $A \in H_n$ . Let

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in H_6.$$

Then  $h(S^2) = 19 \cdot 12^5 = 4,727,808$  whereas  $(\text{per } S)^2 = (2,259)^2 = 5,103,081$ .

Finally, it is clear from the Theorem and Marcus's result that  $per(A^n) \ge (per A)^n$ ,  $A \in H_n$ . This observation is easily eclipsed, however, by the following consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (see [8, p. 25]):  $per(A^2) \ge (per A)^2$ ,  $A \in H_n$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM. We use a device of A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin. (Also see [1].) Let  $A_i = D_i A D_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 2^n$ , where the  $D_i$  are distinct diagonal matrices of the form  $D_i = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ , with  $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Note that

$$d(A_i) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma) \prod_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t a_{i\sigma(t)} \varepsilon_{\sigma(t)}$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma) \left( \prod_{t=1}^n \varepsilon_t^2 \right) \left( \prod_{t=1}^n a_{i\sigma(t)} \right)$$
$$= d(A).$$

Further, since  $D_i A D_i$  is a unitary similarity of A,  $(D_i A D_i)^s = D_i A^s D_i$ . For 0 < s, define  $d^s : H_n \to \mathbf{R}^+$  by  $d^s(A) = d(A^s)$ . Then, in summary

$$d^{s}(A_{i}) = d(D_{i}A^{s}D_{i})$$
$$= d(A^{s})$$
$$= d^{s}(A).$$

Marshall and Olkin [5] observed that  $\sum 2^{-n}A_i = \text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{nn})$ . It is proved in [7] that  $d^s$  is concave on  $H_n$  for  $0 < s \le 1/n$ . Therefore,

$$\chi(e)h(A)^{s} = d^{s}(\operatorname{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, \cdots, a_{nn}))$$
$$= d^{s}\left(\sum 2^{-2}A_{i}\right)$$
$$\geq \sum 2^{-n}d^{s}(A_{i})$$
$$= d^{s}(A)$$
$$= d(A^{s}).$$

If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $A \in H_n$ , it has been shown [8, p. 113] that

(4) 
$$\operatorname{per}(A^{1/n}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}.$$

It was pointed out to me by C. R. Johnson that (2) is better than (4). By the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,  $h(A) \leq ((\operatorname{trace} A)/n)^n$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to Dr. Elaine Zaslawsky for the use of her program for computing the permanent.

### **R. MERRIS**

## References

1. C. R. Johnson, The many proofs of Hadamard's determinantal inequality for positive definite matrices, manuscript.

2. M. Marcus, The permanent analogue of the Hadamard determinant theorem, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 494-496.

3. M. Marcus, The Hadamard theorem for permanents, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 967-973.

4. M. Marcus and M. Newman, Inequalities for the permanent function, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 47-62.

5. A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, A convexity proof of Hadamard's inequality. Am. Math. Monthly 89 (1982), 687-688.

6. R. Merris, Two problems involving Schur functions, Linear Algebra & Appl. 10 (1975), 155-162.

7. R. Merris, Extensions of the Minkowski determinant theorem, Port. Math. 38 (1979), 149-153.

8. H. Minc, Permanents, in Encyclopedia of Math. and its Applications, Vol. 6, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1978.

9. P. J. Nikolai, Mean value and limit theorems for generalized matrix functions, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 982-991.

10. I. Schur, Über endliche Gruppen und Hermitesche Formen, Math. Z. 1 (1918), 184-207.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY HAYWARD, CA 94542 USA